

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Verginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,388	08/15/2003	Hilmar R. Mueller	WW C-60	9481	
23474	7590 12/09/2005		EXAMINER		
FLYNN THI 2026 RAMBI	EL BOUTELL & TAI	KASTLER, SCOTT R			
	O, MI 49008-1631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1742		

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/642,388	MUELLER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Scott Kastler	1742

	Scott Kastler	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		(36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	3	I E below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		colou olalinis.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi	II be entered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15 and 17-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	·		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allows	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet.	•		ice because.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(P10/SB/08 or P10-1449) Paper N	10(s)	
13. Other:			
		Scott Kastler	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1742

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the Hopf patent does not disclose an ohmic resistor that is not subjected to the furnace tem, perature is not persuasive because as stated in the final rejection, the ceramic foil of Hopf671 meets this requirement since being "not subjected to the furnace temperature" would mean not being subject to the temperature within the furnace, and does not mean that the ohmic resistor is not subject to any heating. In the instant case any heating which the ceramic foil of Hopf671 would be subjected to would be less than, or at least different than the furnace temperature and would therefore meet the requirement of being "not subjected to the furnace temperature".